arXiv:1207.0901v1 [math.AG] 4 Jul 2012

A REMARK ABOUT SIMPSON'S STANDARD CONJECTURE

CHRISTIAN SCHNELL

Abstract. We verify a simple prediction made by Simpson's standard conjecture, in the context of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules on abelian varieties. We also show that if a polarizable Hodge module admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, then the cohomology support loci of the underlying regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module are arithmetic subvarieties of the de Rham moduli space.

A. Overview of the paper

1. Introduction. In his article [Sim90], Simpson proposed several conjectures about regular holonomic systems of differential equations whose monodromy representation is defined over a number field. The principal one is the so-called "standard conjecture"; restated in the language of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-modules and perverse sheaves, it takes the following form.

Conjecture 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module on a smooth projective variety X, and suppose that both are defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. If $\mathrm{DR}_X(\mathcal{M})$ is the complexification of a perverse sheaf with coefficients in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is of geometric origin.*

He points out that, "there is certainly no more reason to believe it is true than to believe the Hodge conjecture, and whether or not it is true, it is evidently impossible to prove with any methods which are now under consideration. However, it is an appropriate motivation for some easier particular examples, and it leads to some conjectures which might in some cases be more tractable" [Sim90, p. 372].

One particular example is the class of abelian varieties. Let A be a complex abelian variety, and denote by $A^\natural$ the moduli space of line bundles with integrable connection on A. For a $\mathcal{D}_A$-module $\mathcal{M}$, one can define the *cohomology support loci*

$$S_m^k(\mathcal{M}) = \Bigl\{ (L,\nabla) \in A^\natural \Bigm| \dim \mathbf{H}^k\Bigl(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\bigl(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_A} (L,\nabla)\bigr)\Bigr) \geq m \Bigr\},$$

where $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It was shown in [Sch12, Theorem 3.3] that $S_m^k(\mathcal{M})$ is always a finite union of linear subvarieties of $A^\natural$; when $\mathcal{M}$ is a semisimple regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module of geometric origin, each $S_m^k(\mathcal{M})$ is moreover a finite union of arithmetic subvarieties, in the following sense.

Definition 1.2. A *linear subvariety* of $A^\natural$ is any subset of the form

$$(L,\nabla) \otimes \mathrm{im}\bigl(f^\natural \colon B^\natural \to A^\natural\bigr),$$

for $f \colon A \to B$ a homomorphism of abelian varieties, and (L,∇) a point of $A^\natural$. An *arithmetic subvariety* is a linear subvariety that contains a torsion point.

The theorem we just cited suggests the following test of Simpson's conjecture: If the standard conjecture is true, and if $\mathcal{M}$ is a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module on A with the properties described in the conjecture, then the cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ should be finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties.

2. Results. Our first result – actually a simple consequence of [Sch12] and an old theorem by Simpson [Sim93] – is that this prediction is correct.

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be an abelian variety defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module. If $\mathcal{M}$ is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, and if $\mathrm{DR}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is the complexification of a perverse sheaf with coefficients in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, then all cohomology support loci $S^k_m(\mathcal{M})$ are finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties of $A^\natural$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Char}(A) = \mathrm{Hom}\bigl(\pi_1(A), \mathbb{C}^*\bigr)$ be the space of rank one characters of the fundamental group; for a character $\rho \in \mathrm{Char}(A)$, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_\rho$ the corresponding local system of rank one. We define the cohomology support loci of a constructible complex of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces $E \in \mathrm{D}^b_c(\mathbb{C}_A)$ to be the sets

$$S^k_m(E) = \Bigl\{ \rho \in \mathrm{Char}(A) \Bigm| \dim \mathbf{H}^k\bigl(A, E \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}_\rho\bigr) \geq m \Bigr\}.$$

The correspondence between local systems and vector bundles with integrable connection gives a biholomorphic mapping $\Phi\colon A^\natural \to \mathrm{Char}(A)$; it takes a point (L, ∇) to the local system of flat sections of ∇. According to [Sch12, Lemma 14.1],

$$\Phi\bigl(S^k_m(\mathcal{M})\bigr) = S^k_m\bigl(\mathrm{DR}_A(\mathcal{M})\bigr).$$

Note that $\mathrm{Char}(A)$ is an affine variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$; in our situation, $A^\natural$ is moreover a quasi-projective variety defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, because the same is true for A. The assumptions on the $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ imply that $S^k_m(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq A^\natural$ is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, and that $S^k_m\bigl(\mathrm{DR}_A(\mathcal{M})\bigr) \subseteq \mathrm{Char}(A)$ is defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. We now use [Sim93, Theorem 3.3] to conclude that both must be finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties. ☐

Our second result is a further generalization, suggested by some of the other conjectures in Simpson's paper. We consider regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-modules that share two properties with objects of geometric origin: they underlie a polarizable Hodge module on A, and they admit a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a polarizable Hodge module on A, and assume that M is the intermediate extension of a polarizable variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then the cohomology support loci of the underlying regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module are finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties of $A^\natural$.*

The proof is by induction on the dimension of the abelian variety. Four key ingredients are the Fourier-Mukai transform for $\mathcal{D}_A$-modules [Lau96, Rot96]; results about Fourier-Mukai transforms of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-modules [Sch12]; the theory of perverse sheaves with integer coefficients [BBD82]; and the decomposition theorem for polarizable Hodge modules [Sai88]. Roughly speaking, they make it possible to deduce the whole theorem from the following elementary special case: if H is a polarizable variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, and if $\mathbb{C}_\rho$ is a direct factor of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H$ for some $\rho \in \mathrm{Char}(A)$, then ρ must be a torsion character.

3. Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, and by NSF grant DMS-1100606. I thank François Charles for many useful conversations about the topic of this paper.

B. Preparation for the proof

In what follows, A will always denote a fixed complex abelian variety.

4. Variations of Hodge structure. We begin by treating the simplest instance of Theorem 2.2: a polarizable variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4.1. *If a local system with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structure on A, then it is a direct sum of torsion points of* $\mathrm{Char}(A)$.

Proof. Consider the associated monodromy representation $\mu\colon \pi_1(A) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. After tensoring by $\mathbb{C}$, it splits into a direct sum of unitary characters of rank one; the values of each character are algebraic integers of absolute value one, and therefore roots of unity. This means that μ is a direct sum of torsion characters. ☐

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a vector bundle with integrable connection on A. If $\mathcal{M}$ underlies a polarizable variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, then all cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ are finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties.*

Proof. By the lemma, we have $\mathcal{M} \simeq (L_1, \nabla_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L_n, \nabla_n)$ for torsion points $(L_i, \nabla_i) \in A^\natural$. All cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ are then contained in the set

$$\Big\{(L_1, \nabla_1)^{-1}, \dots, (L_n, \nabla_n)^{-1}\Big\},$$

and are therefore trivially finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties. ☐

5. Hodge modules with $\mathbb{Z}$-structure. Let $\mathrm{MHM}(A)$ denote the category of graded-polarizable mixed Hodge modules on the abelian variety [Sai90]. Here we can work either with analytic or with algebraic mixed Hodge modules, because A is projective. In general, we denote the regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module underlying a mixed Hodge module M by the symbol $\mathcal{M}$, and the underlying perverse sheaf with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ by the symbol $\operatorname{rat} M$.

We shall say that a mixed Hodge module has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure if the underlying perverse sheaf, considered as a constructible complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$, can be obtained by extension of scalars from a constructible complex with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. A typical example is the intermediate extension of a variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. To be precise, we make the following definition.

Definition 5.1. A *$\mathbb{Z}$-structure* on a complex of mixed Hodge modules

$$M \in \mathrm{D}^b \mathrm{MHM}(A)$$

is a constructible complex $E \in \mathrm{D}_c^b(\mathbb{Z}_A)$ and an isomorphism $\operatorname{rat} M \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E$.

The standard operations on complexes of mixed Hodge modules clearly respect $\mathbb{Z}$-structures. For instance, suppose that $M \in \mathrm{D}^b \mathrm{MHM}(A)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, and that $f\colon A \to B$ is a homomorphism of abelian varieties; then $f_* M \in \mathrm{D}^b \mathrm{MHM}(B)$ again has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure. The proof is straightforward:

$$\operatorname{rat}\big(f_* M\big) = \mathbf{R} f_* (\operatorname{rat} M) \simeq \mathbf{R} f_* \big(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E\big) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{R} f_* E$$

By [BBD82, Section 3.3] and [Jut09], there are two natural perverse t-structures on the category $\mathrm{D}_c^b(\mathbb{Z}_A)$; after tensoring by $\mathbb{Q}$, both become equal the usual perverse t-structure on $\mathrm{D}_c^b(\mathbb{Q}_A)$. We shall use the one corresponding to the perversity p_+; concretely, it is defined as follows:

$$E \in {}^{p_+}\mathrm{D}_c^{\leq 0}(\mathbb{Z}_A) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{for any stratum } S, \text{ the local system } \mathcal{H}^m i_S^* E \text{ is zero} \\ \text{if } m > -\dim S + 1, \text{ and } \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^{-\dim S + 1} i_S^* E = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$E \in {}^{p_+}\mathrm{D}_c^{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z}_A) \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} \text{for any stratum } S, \text{ the local system } \mathcal{H}^m i_S^! E \text{ is zero} \\ \text{if } m < -\dim S, \text{ and } \mathcal{H}^{-\dim S} i_S^! E \text{ is torsion-free} \end{cases}$$

We can use the resulting formalism of perverse sheaves with integer coefficients to show that $\mathbb{Z}$-structures are also preserved under taking cohomology.

Lemma 5.2. *If $M \in \mathrm{D}^b \operatorname{MHM}(A)$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, then each cohomology module $H^k M \in \operatorname{MHM}(A)$ also admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure.*

Proof. Let ${}^{p+}\mathcal{H}^k(E)$ denote the p_+-perverse cohomology sheaf in degree k of the constructible complex $E \in \mathrm{D}^b_c(\mathbb{Z}_A)$. With this notation, we have

$$\operatorname{rat}(H^k M) = {}^{p}\mathcal{H}^k(\operatorname{rat} M) \simeq {}^{p}\mathcal{H}^k\bigl(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E\bigr) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} {}^{p+}\mathcal{H}^k(E),$$

which gives the desired $\mathbb{Z}$-structure on $H^k M$. □

There is also a notion of intermediate extension for local systems with integer coefficients. If $i \colon X \hookrightarrow A$ is a subvariety of A, and $j \colon U \hookrightarrow X$ is a Zariski-open subset of the smooth locus of X, then for any local system H on U with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, one has a canonically defined p_+-perverse coherent sheaf

$$i_*\bigl(j_{!*} H[\dim X]\bigr) \in {}^{p+}\mathrm{D}^{\leq 0}_c(\mathbb{Z}_A) \cap {}^{p+}\mathrm{D}^{\geq 0}_c(\mathbb{Z}_A).$$

After tensoring by $\mathbb{Q}$, it becomes isomorphic to the usual intermediate extension of the local system $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H$. This has the following immediate consequence.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M be a polarizable Hodge module. Suppose that M is the intermediate extension of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H$, where H is a polarizable variation of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then M admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure.*

Proof. In the above notation, $E = i_*\bigl(j_{!*} H[\dim X]\bigr)$ gives a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure on M. □

We conclude our discussion of $\mathbb{Z}$-structures by improving Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.4. *Let M be a polarizable Hodge module with $\mathbb{Z}$-structure. Suppose that, for some $\rho \in \operatorname{Char}(A)$, the local system $\mathbb{C}_\rho[\dim A]$ is a direct factor of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{rat} M$. Then ρ is a torsion point of $\operatorname{Char}(A)$.*

Proof. Let $j \colon U \hookrightarrow A$ be the maximal open subset such that $j^* M = H[\dim A]$ for some polarizable variation of Hodge structure H. Consequently, $j^*\mathbb{C}_\rho$ is a direct factor of the complex variation of Hodge structure $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} H$. Since the variation is polarizable, and since $\pi_1(U) \to \pi_1(A)$ is surjective, it follows that ρ is unitary. Similarly, the fact that $\operatorname{rat} M \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} E$ implies that $j^*\mathbb{C}_\rho$ is a direct factor of the local system $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} j^* E$; in turn, this means that the values of ρ are algebraic integers. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we deduce that ρ is a torsion character. □

6. The Fourier-Mukai transform. In this section, we review a few results about Fourier-Mukai transforms of holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-modules from [Sch12]. The Fourier-Mukai transform, introduced by Laumon [Lau96] and Rothstein [Rot96], is an equivalence of derived categories

$$\operatorname{FM}_A \colon \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A) \to \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural});$$

for a single coherent $\mathcal{D}_A$-module $\mathcal{M}$, it is defined by the formula

$$\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbf{R}(p_2)_* \operatorname{DR}_{A \times A^\natural / A^\natural}\bigl(p_1^* \mathcal{M} \otimes (P^\natural, \nabla^\natural)\bigr),$$

where $(P^\natural, \nabla^\natural)$ is the universal line bundle with connection on $A \times A^\natural$.

The Fourier-Mukai transform satisfies several useful exchange formulas [Lau96, Section 3.3]; recall that for $f \colon A \to B$ a homomorphism of abelian varieties,

$$f_+ \colon \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A) \to \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_B) \quad \text{and} \quad f^+ \colon \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_B) \to \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A)$$

denote, respectively, the direct image and the shifted inverse image functor, while $\mathbf{D}_A \colon \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A) \to \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A)^{opp}$ is the duality functor.

Theorem 6.1 (Laumon)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2 \in \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_B)$.*

(a) For any homomorphism of abelian varieties $f\colon A \to B$, one has

$$\mathbf{L}(f^\natural)^* \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \operatorname{FM}_B\bigl(f_+\mathcal{M}\bigr),$$
$$\mathbf{R}f^\natural_* \operatorname{FM}_B(\mathcal{N}) \simeq \operatorname{FM}_A\bigl(f^+\mathcal{N}\bigr).$$

(b) One has $\operatorname{FM}_A\bigl(\mathbf{D}_A\mathcal{M}\bigr) \simeq \langle -1_{A^\natural}\rangle^* \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om\bigl(\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}), \mathscr{O}_{A^\natural}\bigr)$.

(c) Let $m\colon A \times A \to A$ be the addition morphism. Then one has

$$\operatorname{FM}_A\bigl(m_+(\mathcal{M}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_2)\bigr) \simeq \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_1) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural}} \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_2).$$

Now let $\mathrm{D}^b_h(\mathcal{D}_A)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{D}^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_A)$ consisting of holonomic complexes. We already mentioned that the cohomology support loci $S^k_m(\mathcal{M})$ of a holonomic complex are finite unions of linear subvarieties; here are two other results from [Sch12] that will be used below.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module. Then* $\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathrm{D}^{\geq 0}_{coh}(\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural})$, *and for any integer $\ell \geq 0$, one has* $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{H}^\ell \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) \geq 2\ell$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a simple holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq (L, \nabla) \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_A} f^*\mathcal{N} \quad \textit{and} \quad \operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) = (L, \nabla) \otimes \operatorname{im} f^\natural$$

for a surjective homomorphism of abelian varieties $f\colon A \to B$ with connected fibers, a simple holonomic $\mathcal{D}_B$-module $\mathcal{N}$, and a point $(L, \nabla) \in A^\natural$.

The precise relationship between the support of $\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ and the cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ is given by the base change theorem, which implies that

$$\bigcup_{k \geq n} \operatorname{Supp} \mathcal{H}^k \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) = \bigcup_{k \geq n} S^k_1(\mathcal{M})$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the support of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is equal to the union of all the cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$.

7. Auxiliary results. In this section, we use the Fourier-Mukai transform to prove two auxiliary results. Let M be a polarizable Hodge module, and denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the underlying regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module.

Lemma 7.1. *If (L, ∇) is an isolated point of a cohomology support locus of $\mathcal{M}$, then $(L, \nabla) \in S^k_1(\mathcal{M})$ for some $k > 0$.*

Proof. Since M is polarizable, $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the dual $\mathcal{D}_A$-module $\mathbf{D}_A(\mathcal{M})$; according to Theorem 6.1, this means that

$$\langle -1_{A^\natural}\rangle^* \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om\bigl(\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}), \mathscr{O}_{A^\natural}\bigr) \simeq \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}).$$

By Theorem 6.2, we have $\operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) \in \mathrm{D}^{\geq 0}_{coh}(\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural})$; one easily deduces that the set of points where $\mathcal{H}^0 \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ is not locally free must be contained in the union of the cohomology support loci $S^k_1(\mathcal{M})$ with $k > 0$. This implies the assertion. □

The other auxiliary result has to do with the addition morphism

$$m\colon A \times \dots \times A \to A$$

from the d-fold product of A. Let $M \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes M$ denote the d-fold exterior product of M; this is a polarizable Hodge module on $A \times \dots \times A$. If we apply the decomposition theorem [Sai88, Théorème 5.3.1 and Corollaire 5.4.8], we get

$$m_*\bigl(M \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes M\bigr) \simeq \bigoplus_{\lvert \ell \rvert \leq (d-1)g} M_\ell[-\ell]; \tag{7.2}$$

each $M_\ell = H^\ell m_*\bigl(M \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes M\bigr)$ is again a polarizable Hodge module on A.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}_\ell$ be the regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module underlying the Hodge module M_ℓ. For any $(L, \nabla) \in A^\natural$, we have an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$\mathbf{H}^\bullet\Big(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\big(\mathcal{M} \otimes (L, \nabla)\big)\Big)^{\otimes d} \simeq \bigoplus_{|\ell| \leq (d-1)g} \mathbf{H}^{\bullet - \ell}\Big(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\big(\mathcal{M}_\ell \otimes (L, \nabla)\big)\Big).$$

Moreover, the support of $\mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell)$ is a proper subset of $A^\natural$ whenever $\ell \neq 0$.

Proof. Passing to the underlying $\mathcal{D}$-modules in (7.2), we obtain

$$m_+\big(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \mathcal{M}\big) \simeq \bigoplus_{|\ell| \leq (d-1)g} \mathcal{M}_\ell[-\ell].$$

To deduce the asserted isomorphism, tensor by (L, ∇) and take cohomology, using that $m^*(L, \nabla) \simeq (L, \nabla) \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes (L, \nabla)$. For the proof of the second assertion, recall from Theorem 6.1 that

$$\bigoplus_{|\ell| \leq (d-1)g} \mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell)[-\ell] \simeq \mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural}} \cdots \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural}} \mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}).$$

All higher cohomology sheaves of $\mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M})$ are torsion by Theorem 6.2; remembering that $\mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell) \in \mathrm{D}^{\geq 0}_{coh}(\mathscr{O}_{A^\natural})$, the support of $\mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell)$ is thus a proper subset of $A^\natural$ whenever $\ell > 0$. The same is true for $\ell < 0$ as well, because $\mathcal{M}_{-\ell} \simeq \mathcal{M}_\ell$ by the relative hard Lefschetz theorem [Sai88, Théorème 5.3.1]. ☐

C. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Throughout this section, we fix a complex abelian variety A, and a polarizable Hodge module M on A with a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure, in the sense of Definition 5.1. Note that this includes intermediate extensions of polarizable variations of Hodge structure with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$, because of Lemma 5.3. We denote by $\mathcal{M}$ the underlying regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module; our task is to show that all cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ are finite unions of arithmetic subvarieties of $A^\natural$.

8. Reduction steps. By induction on the dimension of A, we may assume that *the theorem is valid on any abelian variety of strictly smaller dimension.* In combination with the decomposition theorem, this has the following useful consequence.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $f\colon A \to B$ be a homomorphism from A to a lower-dimensional abelian variety B. Then for all $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the intersection $S^k_m(\mathcal{M}) \cap B^\natural$ is a finite union of arithmetic subvarieties of $B^\natural$.*

Proof. Let $r = \dim A - \dim B > 0$ be the relative dimension of f. The decomposition theorem gives an isomorphism

$$f_* M \simeq \bigoplus_{|\ell| \leq r} H^\ell f_* M[-\ell]$$

in the derived category of mixed Hodge modules on B; by Lemma 5.2, each $H^\ell f_* M$ again admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure. After passing to the underlying $\mathcal{D}_B$-modules, we get

$$f_+\mathcal{M} \simeq \bigoplus_{|\ell| \leq r} \mathcal{H}^\ell f_+\mathcal{M}[-\ell] \in \mathrm{D}^b_{rh}(\mathcal{D}_B).$$

If we now tensor by points of $B^\natural$ and take cohomology, we find that

$$S^k_m(\mathcal{M}) \cap B^\natural = \bigcup_\mu \bigcap_{|\ell| \leq r} S^{k-\ell}_{\mu(\ell)}\big(\mathcal{H}^\ell f_+\mathcal{M}\big),$$

where the union is over all functions $\mu\colon \{-r, \dots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{|\ell| \leq r} \mu(\ell) \geq m$. By induction, we know that the right-hand side is a finite union of arithmetic subvarieties of $B^\natural$; consequently, the same is true for $S^k_m(\mathcal{M}) \cap B^\natural$. ☐

The inductive assumption allows us to show that all positive-dimensional components of the cohomology support loci of $\mathcal{M}$ must be arithmetic.

Lemma 8.2. *Let Z be an irreducible component of some $S_m^k(\mathcal{M})$. If $\dim Z > 0$, then Z is an arithmetic subvariety of $A^\natural$.*

Proof. By [Sch12, Theorem 3.3], Z is a linear subvariety of $A^\natural$; it suffices to show that Z contains a torsion point of $A^\natural$. To that end, we consider surjective homomorphisms of abelian varieties $f\colon A \to B$, such that $B^\natural$ intersects Z nontrivially. Among all such homomorphisms, we choose one with $\dim B$ minimal; note that we have $\dim B \leq \dim A - (\dim Z)/2$, because Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem (applied on A) allows us to find at least one f such that $Z \times \operatorname{im} f^\natural \to A^\natural$ is finite étale. Now $Z \cap B^\natural$ is a nonempty finite union of linear subvarieties; by our choice of B, it has to consist of isolated points of $S_m^k(\mathcal{M}) \cap B^\natural$. The latter is a finite union of arithmetic subvarieties of $B^\natural$ by Lemma 8.1; it follows that Z contains a torsion point of $B^\natural$, and hence that Z is an arithmetic subvariety of $A^\natural$. ☐

The same method also allows us to deal with those irreducible components that are already contained in a proper arithmetic subvariety of $A^\natural$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let Z be an irreducible component of some $S_m^k(\mathcal{M})$. If Z is contained in a proper arithmetic subvariety of $A^\natural$, then Z is itself an arithmetic subvariety.*

Proof. As before, it suffices to show that Z contains a torsion point. The given arithmetic subvariety in which Z lies certainly contains a torsion point, say of order n. After pushing forward by the multiplication-by-n morphism $\langle n \rangle\colon A^\natural \to A^\natural$, which corresponds to replacing M by its inverse image $\langle n \rangle^* M$ under $\langle n \rangle\colon A \to A$, we can assume that $Z \subseteq \operatorname{im} f^\natural$, where $f\colon A \to B$ is a morphism to a lower-dimensional abelian variety. The assertion now follows from Lemma 8.1. ☐

9. The proof. Now let Z be an irreducible component of some cohomology support locus of $\mathcal{M}$. By Lemma 8.2, we may assume that $\dim Z = 0$, and by Lemma 8.3, we may assume that Z is not contained in any positive-dimensional component of any other cohomology support locus of $\mathcal{M}$. We have $Z = \{(L, \nabla)\}$; after a suitable choice of $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can arrange that

$$\dim \mathbf{H}^i\Bigl(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\bigl(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathscr{O}_A} (L, \nabla)\bigr)\Bigr) = \begin{cases} m & \text{for } i = k, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > k. \end{cases}$$

Note that we necessarily have $k > 0$ by Lemma 7.1. To conclude the proof, we need to show that (L, ∇) is a torsion point of $A^\natural$. Here we borrow a very nice idea from [KW11, Section 13], namely to apply the decomposition theorem to the addition morphism $m\colon A \times \cdots \times A \to A$ from the d-fold product of A. Let $M \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes M$ be the d-fold exterior product of M; it is polarizable Hodge module on $A \times \cdots \times A$, and clearly inherits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure from that on M. Define

$$M_\ell = H^\ell m_*\bigl(M \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes M\bigr);$$

then each M_ℓ is a polarizable Hodge module on A; it has a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure by Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{M}_\ell$ denote its underlying regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-module. Lemma 7.3 shows that

$$\mathbf{H}^k\Bigl(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\bigl(\mathcal{M} \otimes (L, \nabla)\bigr)\Bigr)^{\otimes d} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lvert \ell \rvert \leq (d-1)g} \mathbf{H}^{kd-\ell}\Bigl(A, \mathrm{DR}_A\bigl(\mathcal{M}_\ell \otimes (L, \nabla)\bigr)\Bigr).$$

The left-hand side is nonzero, and so (L, ∇) has to be contained in a cohomology support locus of some $\mathcal{M}_\ell$ with $kd - \ell \leq g$. If we take $d > g$, this forces $\ell > 0$, and so the support of $\mathrm{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell)$ is a proper subset of $A^\natural$ by Lemma 7.3.

Now consider the decomposition of $\mathcal{M}_\ell$ into simple regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_A$-modules. From Theorem 6.3, we infer that

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (L_i, \nabla_i) \otimes f_i^* \mathcal{N}_i,$$

where $f_i \colon A \to B_i$ is a homomorphism to a lower-dimensional abelian variety, and $\mathcal{N}_i$ is a simple regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module on B_i. The Fourier-Mukai transform of the i-th factor is supported on the linear subvariety $(L_i \nabla_i) \otimes \operatorname{im} f_i^\natural$, whose dimension equals $2 \dim B_i$. If $\dim B_i > 0$, this subvariety is arithmetic by Lemma 8.2 because $\mathcal{M}_\ell$ admits a $\mathbb{Z}$-structure.

Since $(L, \nabla) \in \operatorname{Supp} \operatorname{FM}_A(\mathcal{M}_\ell)$, there is some index $i \in I$ such that (L, ∇) is contained in the linear subvariety $(L_i, \nabla_i) \otimes \operatorname{im} f_i^\natural$. If $\dim B_i > 0$, this is a proper arithmetic subvariety of $A^\natural$, and we conclude from Lemma 8.3 that (L, ∇) is a torsion point. If $\dim B_i = 0$, then $(L, \nabla) \simeq (L_i, \nabla_i)$, which means that (L, ∇) is itself a direct factor of $\mathcal{M}_\ell$. We complete the proof by applying Lemma 5.4.

REFERENCES

[BBD82] A. A. Beĭlinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, vol. 100, 1982, pp. 5–171.

[Jut09] D. Juteau, *Decomposition numbers for perverse sheaves*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 3, 1177–1229.

[Lau96] G. Laumon, *Transformation de Fourier généralisée* (1996), available at `arXiv:alg-geom/9603004`.

[KW11] T. Krämer and R. Weissauer, *Vanishing theorems for constructible sheaves on abelian varieties* (2011), available at `arXiv:1111.4947v3`.

[Rot96] M. Rothstein, *Sheaves with connection on abelian varieties*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 3, 565–598.

[Sai88] M. Saito, *Modules de Hodge polarisables*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), no. 6, 849–995.

[Sai90] ———, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), no. 2, 221–333.

[Sch12] C. Schnell, *Holonomic $\mathscr{D}$-modules on complex abelian varieties, Part I* (2012), available at `arXiv:1112.3582v3`.

[Sim90] C. Simpson, *Transcendental aspects of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence*, Illinois J. Math. **34** (1990), no. 2, 368–391.

[Sim93] ———, *Subspaces of moduli spaces of rank one local systems*, Ann. Sci. ENS **26** (1993), 361–401.

KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 5-1-5 KASHIWANOHA, KASHIWA-SHI, CHIBA 277-8583, JAPAN
E-mail address: `christian.schnell@ipmu.jp`